

The Cost of Freedom

Kang Lu

A response to this [TikTok video](#).

2024-08-30

My response is going to be controversial. Although I think that economics and the cost of living is a component to demographic suicide, I do not think it is the core reason.

As a nation become more “developed”, individuals will acquire more freedom of choices. This will create a clear focus shift from national values to individual values. We see this from feminism to Diversity-Equality-Inclusivity (DEI) initiatives. Individualism is a local optimum. It is more Me, me, me, and less We, we, we. The concentrated effort in satisfying an individual’s happiness, erodes existing community cohesiveness. The idea of making certain individual sacrifices to benefit the community or a society at large is now being seen as tabooed. This creates a society where individuals asks, “What can the society do for me?”; and not, “How can I contribute to society?”

When you couple this new found Individualism with the idea of Feminism, women’s agendas are “largely” no longer compatible with nature’s biological schedule.

National values are displaced with new values that are aligned with tribal ideologies forged by individuals having shared beliefs. These new ideologies are more dynamic over time and will certainly clash with other ideologies. These tribal ideologies are not grounded to well aged customs and cultural values. They are fostered by knee jerk social reactions which is befitting of certain individual agendas. In short, women simply want to enjoy their life more, and this precludes child bearing for some

to the point where we have a fertility rate that is less than sustainable.

A nation is like a human body or any other highly complex organisms composed of organs and cells. The biological makeup requires each organ and cells to do its job. When certain cells acquire “freedom”, it can be benign or malignant. It is cancerous. You see where I am going with this, hence the controversial aspect of my argument.

My ultimate conclusion is that in order to have a stable national value system, there must be a give and take management of individual’s freedom. This management can be in the form of a carrot or a stick, but nonetheless managed.

When a democracy is “developing”, the needs out weighs the wants, and individual agendas tend to be less free and constraint more on putting food on the table. However, once the nation becomes “developed” and people have more time on their hands, you get more free radicals in the nation’s make up.

I am not saying individualism is wrong, or people should not have any rights. However, I do see the above as a systemic fact. Whether it is a problem or not depends on the nation’s leadership and nation’s people to ultimately decide what they want to prioritize and the type of country they want; and how the values within this county is fostered and maintained. People may find that the cost of “freedom” in fact is social suicide or rapid evolution of social standards, perhaps on a more frequent basis than most people would like.